

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/585,743	06/02/2000	Richard Sedrani	100-8024C/C1/D1 7135	
1095	7590 11/15/2002			
THOMAS F		EXAMINER		
	CORPORATION D TRADEMARK DEI	CEPERLEY, MARY		
564 MORRIS				
SUMMIT, NJ 079011027			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641 DATE MAILED: 11/15/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/585,743	QUESNIAUX RYFFEL ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley	1641			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 s	Sontombor 2002				
1)⊠ 2a)⊠		is action is non-final.				
3)□	,—		osecution as to the morite is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>28-39</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)∐ T	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on		ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						



Application/Control Number: 09/585,743

Art Unit: 1641

- 1) The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- **2)** Claims 28-39 are rejected under 35 USC 101 for the reason set forth in paragraph **3)** of the June 03, 2002 Office action. Applicants' statement in the September 03, 2002 response is noted. This rejection is maintained as a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection.
- *3)* Claims 28-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reason stated in paragraph *5)* of the June 03, 2002 Office action.

Applicants' arguments filed September 03, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants appear to have misunderstood the basis of this rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. Applicants make the statement that "the claims are now limited to specific rapamycins, which the Examiner has noted are enabled". (It is noted that the current claims 28-39 are of the same scope as the previously pending claims 15-27, i.e. the scope of the claims has not changed with the current amendment.) The claims, in fact, are limited to monoclonal antibodies having specificity for certain rapamycins. The claims say nothing about how the monoclonal antibodies are prepared. The rejection is made based on the fact that the specification provides enablement only for the preparation of monoclonal antibodies using specific immunogens (see paragraph 5) of the June 03, 2002 Office action). The issue of the specificity of a monoclonal antibody (i.e. what moiety it binds to) is different than the issue of enablement for the preparation of the antibody. Applicants have not addressed the latter issue.

4) Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/585,743

Art Unit: 1641

of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary E. (Molly) Ceperley whose telephone number is (703) 308-4239. The examiner can normally be reached from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le, can be reached at (703) 305-3399. The fax phone number for responses to be filed BEFORE final rejection is (703) 872-9306. The fax phone number for responses to be filed AFTER final rejection is (703) 872-9307.

Questions which are <u>NOT RELATED TO THE EXAMINATION ON THE MERITS</u>, should be directed to <u>TC 1600 CUSTOMER SERVICE</u> at (703) 308-0198. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

November 14, 2002

Many E. Capelley
Mary E. (Molly) Ceperley
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1641